

Continued follow-up to 2006 ELECTION LOSERS 12-27-06

To the Editor,

Mr. Harrell you do not know how much help you continue to give me in driving my conservative points farther down the road to victory. You were joined this time by a newcomer, Colin Abele, who also wants to challenge my assertion that the outing of Mark Foley was a perfect example of Liberal hypocrisy. The homosexual agenda is something that I have been warning and arguing with Liberals about personally in a variety of forums since before the AIDS epidemic. Mr. Harrell and Mr. Abele claim that no Liberals have advocated sex with children. They are wrong. Have they never heard of the North American Man/Boy Love Association (NAMBLA)? I hesitate to suggest you do an Internet search of it because, knowing how open minded and progressive you are, you may end up seduced by their sophistry. I'll give you a quote from their site so you won't have to take that chance: *"The liberation of children, women, boy-lovers, and homosexuals in general, can occur only as complementary facets of the same dream."* Their website contains step-by-step instructions on how to gain the confidence of children's parents. Where to go to have sex with children so as not to get caught and there is advice, if one gets caught, on when to leave America and how to rip off credit card companies to get cash to finance your flight.

The group enjoyed not-for-profit status in New York under Mario Cuomo until intense pressure from Conservative organizations brought the outrage before the state legislature. When the measure to rescind their not-for-profit status came up for a vote, the only Assemblymen who could not bring themselves to vote in favor were seventeen downstate Liberal Democrats. Guess you guys must have missed that report in the mainstream press.

I remember debating the issue of homosexuality against Liberals just like you guys. I suggested that homosexuality was a lifestyle choice, a perversion closely associated with pedophilia. The Liberals told me that people were born that way. It was an important distinction because Liberal Socialists were looking to incorporate the homosexual community into their lobbying ranks. When Liberals suggested that they were merely advocating tolerance for an oppressed minority, I suggested that they were actually promoting its growth. They called me a homophobe. I said the rise of cases of homosexual pedophilia in the Church was a natural consequence of the campaign to promote it. They said: "Hate is not a family value!" I said that homosexuality was a sin. They said – correction – they foamed and sprayed at the mouth: "HOW DARE YOU PUSH YOUR RELIGIOUS MORALITY ON US!! Today the same Liberals are demanding that same religious morality with the right for gays to be wed in the same Churches. Unabashed hypocrisy! If you feel that the truth I speak is an insult to you, then you should work on what tomorrow's truth will be instead of trying to change yesterday's in vain. And spare me your righteous indignation.

DRC